A
GEO-POLITICAL VIEW:
NECESSARY
TO
UNDERSTAND
THE
WORLD REVOLUTION

By GEORGE B. FOWLER
——o——
Foreword By MYRON C. FAGAN

FOREWORD

With this issue we interrupt our series of our "INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT" expositions. We have two vital reasons for this interruption:

- 1) In our previous two issues, NEWS-BULLETINS, Nos. 87 and 88, we merely set down the *facts* about the "INVISIBLE GOVERN-MENT," without providing an analysis of all the implications in its plottings. We intended to devote a later issue for such analyses.
- 2) On March 27, Mr. George B. Fowler, who needs no introduction to our readers, delivered an address at the Lithuanian Club in Hartford, Connecticut, in which he so lucidly clarified all of the above mentioned implications, that we felt it would serve as a perfect glossary for those terms expressed in Nos. 87 and 88 which may seem vague to some readers.

There is still another note of great significance about this speech. Originally, Mr. Fowler was to have delivered it at a PTA meeting at the Southeast School in Newington, Connecticut. But when Mr. Fowler revealed the general subjects to be discussed six members of the Executive Council of the P-TA promptly voiced objections—"Too Conservative," they vehemently protested.

That entire controversy is best described in a brief, but concise, article published by the STANDARD-TIMES, of New Bedford, Mass.:

"RIGHT-WING TALKS BARRED BY P-TA UNIT.

"Newington, Conn., March 22, 1962:—Completion of a 'conservative program' approved by the Southeast School P-TA here has been cancelled because of protests by six members of the executive council of the P-TA.

"Richard Worley, a West Point graduate and Korean war veteran, resigned as program chairman after the P-TA Executive Council's action. He had asked that the change of program be submitted to the entire P-TA membership, but the Council refused to do it. Thereupon some members of the P-TA arranged for use of the Lithuanian Club in adjacent Hartford to hear Conservative addresses. Mr. Worley said his supporters feared a last-minute cancellation of Mr. Fowler's talk at a private hall in Newington might be ordered, so they obtained use of the Lithuanian Club — 'The Lithuanians know all about Communism,' he said."

Those of our readers who are familiar with our "News-Bulletin," No. 86, entitled "UNESCO: No. 1 ENEMY OF AMERICAN YOUTH" are fully aware of the reason behind the protests of those six members of the Executive Council of the P-TA — said reason being that Mr. Fowler's speech is a perfect primer ideally suited to further alert our young people to the "One-World" plottings of our "Enemy Within" . . . which makes it OUR reason to get it circulated throughout the nation!

In our next issue, No. 90, we will resume our expositions of "OUR INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT" and the machinations of the "Council on Foreign Relations." It will be titled: "The Kennedy Boys and OUR INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT."

A GEO-POLITICAL VIEW: NECESSARY TO UNDERSTAND THE WORLD REVOLUTION.

By GEORGE B. FOWLER

"For the first time since Khrushchev came to power the cumulative factors of Paralysis combined with the natural inclinations of key figures in the Allied governments are such that he can turn pressure operations on and off from positions of strength.

"The only forces blocking the juggernaut of the Communist Global Conquest are the anti-Communist forces, wherever located, whether in the Soviet Empire — or in the civilian segments and armed forces of the United States of America.

"The elimination of those forces under favorable conditions developed in the United Nations and elsewhere by key figures of the Kennedy Administration has become a priority operation."

The above is a sober estimate of our situation as developed by one of the best and most analytical private intelligence agencies in the country.

So it behooves us to examine the facts closely in an effort to understand the scope, the purpose, the speed of current events. For our future is at stake — our national security, our national sovereignty, our national survival, and (for most of us at least) our lives. I must confess, looking at the record and pronouncements of most reporters, analysts, public figures, and even patriots, that there is a gross lack of realism in assessing the world pattern, with consequent universal confusion and errors of judgment by those who must be relied upon to preserve human freedom.

It is no secret, and it has been often declared, that the Soviet objective is government of all the world. The nature of Communism demands this; it is demanded by the absolute incompatibility of the slave state with any other social or political organization; it is dictated by the long and universally successful application of the strategy, and systematic methods of the enemy — taking advantage of scientific boring from within the structure of victim states — winning by treason and subversion — promising all things to all people (to gain positions of political control backed by force, if necessary). The United States has long exhibited an inability to deal effectively with Communism or to provide effective resistance to the progress of Global Conquest. In fact, this conquest would be im-

possible without our help — functioning at the highest level of government. This can mean only that among us are those who, motivated by whatever purpose or ideal, are, by their actions (sometimes by inaction) directing our course to an ultimate goal — which coincides with that of the enemy.

Call them what you will — political theorists, global dreamers, internationalists, socialists, communists, revolutionaries in general — the result is the same.

Castro calls them Humanists. Working with others of their kind in a tight cabal they are dedicated to the destruction of our sovereignty; the dependency of our nation; the control of our political, economic, social, cultural, and even religious life — the subservience of our people. They mean business — and they are well on their way to success. Whoever they are, wherever they are, whatever their motives, they are promoting only one end result—a tyranny beyond any experience of mankind — and universal slavery.

Now what are some the implications of this? Perhaps they can here be aptly described in the polite, somewhat detached university language of a professor (writing almost two centuries ago — for this movement, this dream, is not new — rather it now holds the greatest promise of fulfillment). I quote —

"Suppose it possible, and done in peace. The new system could not stand unless every principle of activity in the human mind be enthralled, all incitement to exertion and industry removed, and man brought into a condition incapable of improvement; and this at the expense of everything that is valued by the best of men — by misery and devastation — by loosening all the bonds of society; to talk of morality and virtue in conjunction with such schemes is an insult to common sense. I hope there are few, very few of our countrymen, and none whom we call friend, who can think that an order which held such doctrines, and which practiced such things, can be anything else than a ruinous association".

In order to view correctly, to understand, and to judge, we must regard the tragic scene from a geo-political summit, and take a lesson from "the other side" as it was concisely expressed by Elmo Roper (of the Atlantic Union Movement) when he said — "I judge all moves in the international arena on the basis of whether or not they are leading toward a government of all the world." This we must do. As a means of acquiring perspective, therefore — and feeling the sweep of global movement — let us consider a few thumbnail sketches of events in recent memory, bringing us rapidly into happenings now surrounding us from day to day. They are

shocking — and they seem unreal, so unreal as (in their true implications) to be scarcely capable of belief.

Our stage is the world — a slowly turning globe — in all its natural colors (at the beginning) except for one large and brilliant area which is distinctive in a coloring of its own - the coloring of blood. This is the world - and the USSR before World War II. Take a cosmic position in relation to this globe. In our hands is a pail. It is filled with blood. A small stream falls — Central Europe and the Balkans assume the dreadful hue. We adjust our hold the sudden movement releases a heavy splash — and Mainland China becomes lathered with the flood, which scarcely stops at the borders. A residual stream followed by a few drops escapes. Indonesia is tinted, and Cuba covered. India is affected, then Southeast Asia, severely coated in parts with obvious threat to the remainder. Then Africa - in spots of apparently precise selection which almost boil in the heat of elemental savagery. The Near-East. Then South America and its adjacent territories. We stop watching the natural effects of gravity and capillary flow — as the entire land surface absorbs, adjusts, and jells. America (north of the border) remains, and Western Europe, locally penetrated, is insecure.

We descend to earth for closer examination, analysis, and review. There is a pause, for words fail us in contemplating the historic sequence of recent years against the background of Lenin's words:—

"We will first take Eastern Europe, then the masses of Asia. We will surround the United States, which will be the last bastion of capitalism. We will not have to attack".

We fall back as from a blow below the belt, for Lenin is discovered to be a sure prophet and the years of our generation are found to rank high in crisis rating from the beginning of time. These are the years of decision covering the great break-through of the forces driving for the goal of goals which is Government of the World. We marvel at the magnitude of operations and the precision of control over races and nations moving like pieces of chess to achieve the global objective. A realization comes over us that somehow we have met up with a strange force - a master plan clearly evidenced by the facts of history — which has been concealed and which, even today, is not recognized generally among the Western nations. Lenin's view is geo-political — it is universal, transcending time and wars; to be reflected by success in using both time and wars for its purpose, and present control over 25% of the earth's land area and one billion human beings, with a prospect of absorbing all that remains. So from our view of causes

and effects at the world level, we are carried back to the revolution of Lenin — to assess its character, its effect, its purpose — and conclude, as to character, it was a conquest (not a revolution); as to effect, a staging ground for world conquest; as to purpose, evolution of the Communist system for mass control over humanity (ultimately to encompass the earth).

Now what I am about to say is rough — but it's got to be said because of my conviction that it represents the truth. For years we have been acting, in effect, as partners of the Soviet Union in a program of global conquest looking ultimately to the creation of a World Government. Beginning with the Lenin revolution; including its fantastic recognition by Roosevelt (against all sound advice and warning); including all the steps along the way, we have supported and strengthened that regime to insure its present position of strength which is rapidly expanding beyond the point of no return. Communism and Communists have nothing to offer—and could have made no progress without our help. In making these statements, however, it must be perfectly clear there is no implication to the effect that this was known to, or intended by, or endorsed by the American people. Frankly, everything has been done by administrations controlling the levers of national action, using the resources, the money, and the man-power of our great nation for revolutionary purposes. With extraordinary gullibility we, as a whole, have witnessed the tremendous drama blindly and innocently - with misplaced confidence in our elected guardians of the public trust who, on the record, must have been aware of subversion and treason, willing to risk the dangers of national disaster that must of necessity result from disregard of laws, prescribed authority, and restraints within the meaning of government as prescribed by our great Constitution.

With this I shall pass quickly to the illustrative episodes previously mentioned, which are chosen because of their peculiar revelation of the mechanics which operated to consummate the great break-through. In the first part are eight descriptions, of which Nos. 3 and 5 show the position assigned to anti-Communists and those who love freedom. No. 8 is specific (as an example) to the matter of restraints upon our own people (a deliberate part of the program in its application to the United States).

1. The volcano erupted. This was World War II, the necessity of which has by no means been established. It was too well timed — too convenient for the objectives of global conquest. In respect to these, there was not a single mistake. Hitler was indeed a madman. But was Hitler necessary? I call your attention to a paragraph recorded by the late Leopold Amery — one

of England's least appreciated statesmen (as found in "Human Events" — December 21, 1961):—

"—the German Generals, convinced that nothing would stop Hitler starting a war which would be the destruction of Germany, had not only planned his arrest, but had arranged both the legal and psychiatrist evidence for his criminal irresponsibility. They sent emissaries to England urging support for this enterprise. There is no evidence that the British military rejected this plan in the perfection of which German military men were willing to gamble their lives! Amery declared it was rejected by Neville Chamberlain"—

And in the January 1962 issue of TASK FORCE, General P. A. Del Valle quoted Rev. Carl McIntyre of Collingswood, N. J. over the "Century Reformation House" broadcast as follows:—

"If the Communist complex was to expand, we observe (from the viewpoint of Geo-Politics) that the power of Germany and Japan had to be liquidated, being at either end of the huge USSR complex."

This is clearly the true historic focus at this most critical juncture of human affairs.

Furthermore, the Soviet regime (and in fact its allies) could not survive without American intervention. There is no doubt in my mind that Roosevelt understood this fact. He early and continuously violated our neutrality laws. Public opinion was against him. He could not restrain himself. So he sacrificed the Pacific Fleet and blasted us into the unprecedented holocaust.

2. Now we will by-pass the strange events which led to the frontal assault on fortress Europe in accordance with Stalin's wishes, thereby giving him Eastern Europe and the Balkans — and proceed to the crisis of Berlin. It is dangerous, immediate, decisive. For on Berlin depends the unification of Germany; on the unification of Germany depends the unification of Europe; on the unification of Europe depends the future of the Free World. American Forces got to Berlin weeks ahead of the Russians. Eisenhower halted them — refused to accept German surrender — and prolonged the war. He prevented Patton from entering Prague. And the victory was finally claimed by the Soviets. In regard to Berlin, final arrangements were made on the responsibility of our Supreme Commander. On March 3, 1949, before the American Bar Association Meeting in New York Mr. Eisenhower stated:—

"Concerning the Berlin matter I must make one thing clear. Your question seems to imply that the decision not to march into Berlin

was a political decision. On the contrary, there is only one person in the whole world responsible for that decision. It was I. There was no one who interfered with me in the slightest."

The autobiography of Field Marshall Alexander substantiates these remarks. They were confirmed by the State Department in an official pamphlet issued in August 1961.

Then Eisenhower denied the facts by saying — "The decision on not sending American forces into Berlin was made at the highest POLITICAL level, and not by the Supreme Commander." Could this last-referred-to "political level" have been of Russian source — not American? If so — the former President was probably correct. Incidentally, the complete official dossier on Eisenhower is reported to have been taken by him to Gettysburg. As government property should it not be returned and made public?

- 3. With the German surrender the war was over. Then occurred an historic tragedy unique in viciousness, indifference to human life, decency, and morality. At Yalta, Stalin had declared slavery to be an instrument of national policy. Roosevelt agreed. The demand was made that all persons claimed by Stalin as Soviet nationals willing or not; soldiers or civilians, men, women, children, and priests (regardless of time or circumstances) be returned for "repatriation." It was accepted. This meant imprisonment, torture, execution, or slavery for hundreds of thousands. They were refugees and they were anti-Communists. Eisenhower used American troops to drive them into concentration camps and American trucks and conveyances for delivery to the Russians. Thousands committed suicide. Similar "repatriations" were carried out in Poland, Czechoslovakia, Romania, Bulgaria, and Hungary.
- 4. The Korean war (as a U. N. action) was fought under the command of a Russian General, as every such war will be fought under the command of a Russian General. Even so, against the most irrational and unbelievable handicaps, we were winning. The enemy was (in effect) defeated. It was under Eisenhower that the war was abandoned an armistice declared (the terms of which have not been honored by the enemy). In this way the Red Chinese regime was saved (a vital necessity and a long-declared objective in the program of global conquest); and the way cleared for death, suffering and chaos—to result in the final consolidation of a Red empire preliminary to the unification of Asia under a Red dictatorship. As a side effect, American prisoners were abandoned (of whom we have never had a true accounting). Article 100 of the Code of Military Justice provides (in part) as follows:

"Any person subject to this code who compels, or attempts to

compel a commander — of any body or members of the armed forces, to give it up to an enemy or to abandon it — shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct".

5. The Hungarian revolution broke out on October 23, 1956. The point of no return in human endurance had been reached. By October 28th a revolutionary regime took over and there was a political hiatus of five days. The world waited for the response of America. Here was a chance to raise the banner of freedom. Urgent appeals for equipment were issued to the Free Nations. But State Department pressures prevented ready assistance. The Butcher, bloody and dripping, lay in wait. Then the same Department sent the following cablegram to Tito late in the afternoon of Friday, November 2nd.

"The government of the United States does not look favorably upon governments unfriendly to the Soviet Union on the borders of the Soviet Union".

The tanks began to roll on November 4th — and the tragic fate of Hungarian patriots was sealed. Some platitudes were issued by Washington for public consumption, but the truth was not revealed. No official reference was ever made to the kidnapping and exile forever to an unknown fate of the children of Hungary. However, it is now believed that recognition by our government would have sparked numerous revolts in Poland and Czechoslovakia. Refugees poured over the border into Austria, among whom were many Communists. The American government, acting under a Presidential directive, flew planes over to pick up these Communists. The FBI had orders not to turn any back unless he had been a member of the secret police. J. Edgar Hoover protested so violently that his relations with the President became strained — but his efforts were of no avail.

At the height of the Hungarian crisis a resolution was introduced at the United Nations by Cuba whose president than was Batista. It called for immediate expulsion of the Soviet Union from the United Nations (as being in violation of the letter and spirit of the United Nations Charter). The United States refused to support it.

6. The conquest of Cuba followed the China pattern — agrarian reform and the liquidation of opposition. (The theft or kidnapping of children came later). A former Assistant Secretary of State has said that members of the State Department put Castro in power and guided his every move. He also stated that Castro has been chosen by the Kremlin as the Mao Tze-Tung of the Western Hemisphere.

For some time Castro was called a liberator. We were supposed to be looking at the emergence of a "democracy" - and anyone who disagreed with this view was smeared, ridiculed, or pressured into silence. Two former ambassadors struggled to reach the Secretary of State with the truth. Contact was impossible of attainment. J. Edgar Hoover used every device to reach top authorities with information acquired by his Department as to who Castro was, what he was, and what were his objectives. His report finally was handed to William Wieland (a former Cuban terrorist operating under an assumed name) who hid it. (This man has attracted much attention as the result of a recent endorsement by the President arising from the questioning of Mrs. Sarah McClendon at a press conference. It develops that Wieland has been promoted from the rank of Foreign Officer No. 2 to Foreign Officer No. 1 and given a key post, planning reorganization of the State Department - including its security set-up. Since his promotion, a "shocking" order has been issued stripping the security division of a large number of its trained investigators.) The inevitable result followed. Now Castro admits he is a Communist - has been one since 1948. I hold the copy of a letter out of Cuba on September 21, 1961 - the joint effort of fifty-two Cuban mothers and fathers, telling the depths of their despair and suffering, of terror, hunger, and bloodshed; and a solemn oath of self-liquidation rather than submit to the loss of their most treasured possessions. Firing squads have been assigned to destroy rebellious farmers. Military equipment has poured into Cuba from behind the Iron Curtain - including guns, tanks, aircraft and field equipment, missiles, submarine chasers, and torpedo boats. We supply 40% of the funds to Castro furnished through the United Nations!

7. On September 17, 1960, Eisenhower by "voluntary and unilateral action", ordered the flag of Panama to be flown with the flag of the United States in the canal zone. This was in contempt of Congress which (on February 21, 1960) voted by 381 to 12 that such action "should not be accomplished by executive flat."

As expressed by Congressman Flood (D. Pa.) on October 3, 1961, here is "the case of one man without the power of any authority taking an action in betrayal of the vital interests of our Nation in defiance of the expressed will of the people. The international conspiratorial plans is clear: encirclement of the Caribbean by pro-Communist governments as a prelude to the intended conquest of the United States."

8. No. 8 (as heretofore stated) is specific to the matter of restraints upon our own people. Too few are able to realize the extent to which their personal freedom has been whittled away by government usurpation of powers in recent years and the enactments of legislation favoring a socialist state. Actually, a careful study will show

that no opportunity is overlooked to put all of us, as individuals, tighter and tighter in that kind of strait jacket. This case was widely reported in the press — and casually received by most people because they did not feel directly concerned. The impact did not strike home, although involving a clear-cut loss of freedom and constitutionally guaranteed personal rights. On January 1, 1961 Mr. Eisenhower assumed legislative authority never allowed by the Constitution by declaring that no American citizen could own gold outside the United States. Considerable research was necessary to find excuses for this unparalleled step — and the case finally rested upon a war-time act of 1917 which, on two occasions involving former Presidents, had been classified as expired. Its insincerity is attested by press reports at the time that the Secretary of the Treasury could make exceptions, without accountability or public reporting.

Later events have shown it to have accomplished nothing. Here is the way the situation stands today — as reported in a study prepared privately for the House Republican Policy Committee by William F. Rickenbacker. "If one or two nations demand that they be given gold for the claims they have against the United States, our monetary system will be destroyed along with that of the systems of other nations. Foreign claims on our gold today total \$18 billion. We have only \$17 billion and are required to set aside \$11 billion to support our circulating money. Thus, today our 'free gold' amounts to \$6 billion and foreign claims against it amount to \$18 billion. This has happened to the United States which was once the banker of the world." People all over the world are hastening to insure their personal security by owning gold. How valuable it would be if a substantial amount were owned by our citizens who could use it to support their government if it merited public confidence. President Kennedy has taken the position that he will not rescind the Eisenhower order. As things stand, the value of our money is increasingly jeopardized, the prospect of complete debauching of our currency looms ahead, and with it goes helplessness and disaster unless we might yield to pressures and siren songs in behalf of "World Government."

Write to — Merrill E. Shoup, President, The Golden Cycle Corporation, Colorado Springs, Colorado.

Ask for a copy of his valuable address titled "Our Disappearing Dollar." Such are the events, and incidents, that cover the interval of break-through for Communism, which launched it securely on the path of global conquest.

It has been said "the past is prologue for things to come." So with this background, let us turn to present fast-moving events —

every bit as important, every bit as tragic, every bit as misunderstood. Looking at it from the American position, we find that the study must be divided into two parts:—

- A) As to internal affairs.
- B) As to external affairs.

A. Internal Affairs

My comment here relative to affairs within the country will be brief — because emphasis must be in the direction of our conduct in foreign affairs. The geo-political view should be developed in its connection with the goal which is government of all the world. But we must not forget that the World Revolution is not confined to kaleidoscopic events outside our borders. It operates with deadly purpose within and throughout our society — in the fields of politics, economics, social affairs, education, entertainment, science, and religion — nothing is left out. But extraordinary power is derived from two principal sources:—

- 1. Government usurpation of authority.
- 2. The availability of inexhaustible funds.

As to item 1, we must recognize that the government has long since abandoned limitations imposed by the Constitution of the United States (subscribed to under oath by all of our representatives who have valid title to office), and we have been pushed step by step (applying the principle of gradualism — as understood by the socialist plotters) into controls which are drawn constantly tighter and tighter about our throats. Obviously our position becomes more and more serious in proportion as penetration is extended, positions of authority are occupied, and controls are multiplied.

As to Item 2, we know that funds are the life-blood of the world revolution, and the program of internal subversion. The government can't do anything without money — nor can anyone else. Therefore it is with perculiar interest that our attention is directed to two circumstances:—

a) The government has seized control of our monetary resources — and our own money is being used to destroy us. This was done through passage of the 16th Amendment which authorized the confiscation of personal incomes and savings. This picking of the pockets of every citizen in the land is robbery, and is strictly conformable to one of the planks in Karl Marx's Manifesto—i.e., a graduated income tax for the confiscation of personal income, and the elimination of private property. In-

sult was added to injury by passage of the Federal Reserve Act which transferred the issue of our money (and control of its value) to a private corporation. Therefore, since money is power, it becomes a question as to who or what is running this country — a government in behalf of the people; or an external agency or group in control of the government.

b) Tax-free foundations are a source of almost inexhaustible funds. They are a separate, large, and complex subject in regard to which reference should be made to a book by Rene A. Wormser — "Foundations: Their Power and Influence" — published by The Devin-Adair Company, 23 East 26th Street, New York 10, N. Y. So important is their influence that I cannot tell you where it ends. But the following quotation is significant, coming from the President of one of the largest foundations (as reported by Fred Koch of Wichita, Kansas in his booklet "A Business Man Looks At Communism" — I urge you to get a copy) —

"My job is to change the economic climate of the U. S. A. so that it can be comfortably merged with that of the Soviet Union, and you are not going to stand in my way".

B. External Affairs

"We will first take Eastern Europe, then the masses of Asia. We will surround the United States which will be the last bastion of capitalism. We will not have to attack".

In terms of geo-politics, foreign affairs today present a fascinating picture — the spectacle of applied dialectical materialism at its best, practiced by those made perfect in the art. This is the art of dealing with opposing ideas or forces meeting in collision, engaging in conflict, finally merging to lose their identity by forming a new condition or state. "Thesis" meets head-on with its "antituesis," and the two form a "synthesis." This is the "iron law" of Marxian dialectical materialism applied to the area of economics and government — the law of "collision and change." Looking at the world now remaining outside the Communist orbit, we see everywhere this sort of crisis and struggle between forces representing human individualism, initiative, and freedom — and those standing for tyranny and slavery. The strategic distribution of these forces, and the nature of the struggle, removes any doubt (barring some effective counter-offensive) as to the probable result. In this colossal action the United States plays a decisive part.

In the February 6th issue of "Christian Economics," James Burn-

ham, writing on the subject "Tangle in Katanga" makes the following observations: —

"The moment of truth is the moment of crisis.

"A truth-revealing crisis erupted in Katanga for a couple of days this month (December 1961), to be quickly smothered by high-pressure verbal fog.

"The United States (as principal) was engaged in a military attack on a peaceful, orderly, pro-Western, anti-Communist nation.

"The moment revealed that our policy ran counter to that of all our Nato Allies, counter to the entire Western community.

"The prime element of the truth is that 'under prevailing policy the U. S. can take the initiative against the Right, but cannot take the initiative against the Left — no matter what part of the world is involved'.

"Most immediately relevant — is the belief that the main theater of the world drama is the underdeveloped regions of Asia, Africa, and Latin America."

Let us, therefore, turn our attention briefly to these areas remaining to be absorbed for completion of the Communist Global Conquest.

 Felix B. Stump, Adm. U. S. Navy (Ret.), in the American Security Council Letter of January 24, 1962 — expressed the strategic plan as follows: —

"The long-range Communist objective in the Far East is to unite, under Communist control, the industrial resources of Japan, the manpower of mainland China, and the natural resources of South-East Asia and Indonesia. Since establishing authority over 600 million Chinese in 1949, they have worked increasingly to complete this grand design".

The fermenting movements of South-East Asia have chief significance in the promotion of this plan.

2. Among the countries of Cambodia, Thailand, Laos, and South Vietnam, it is Laos that deserves closest attention as showing our State Department at work establishing a new Red State—although we should not overlook administration use of secrecy, censorship, and muzzling to obscure casualties of American troops in Vietnam as the South-East Asian action builds up to a possible new Korea. Until recently there was no attempt to

resist communist absorption, and the American President yielded to that absorption.

Our policy has been in favor of "neutralism," a coalition government was initially demanded, followed by an ultimatum of State Department spokesmen to "get anti-communist elements out of key posts in your government." In December 1961 "they were threatening the reluctant anti-communist Premier Boun to withdraw American aid if he persisted in his refusal to put his country at the mercy of its enemies." More recently American military men have been assigned to assist in training Laotian troops and render help in the use of American transports — (although complete details of our participation are not available). However, a strange report from Laos reads as follows (describing tactics similar to those later used at the Punta Del Este Conference—Ref. Supplement A):

"Almost every morning, U. S. Ambassador Brown visits General Phoumi (the Laotian Defense Minister) to persuade him to accept the formula of a coalition with the Communists, which is alone capable of permitting unification of the country, and re-establishment of peace. (Incidentally, it is a sure formula for surrender — absorption if your prefer — and loss to Communism of this key to South-East Asia). Every evening, an expert of M A A G (The U. S. Military Advisory Group in Laos) of the Central Intelligence Agency comes to shore up the General and incite him to oppose any entry of Reds into the government."

The time to have settled this issue was when we faced the Reds at the Yalu River — when gory treason tied MacArthur's hands, the Red sanctuaries were carefully preserved, Chinese nationalist help and a blockade of the China coast was denied, and our troops were forced to endure privation and slaughter in an overall program of fanatical preservation of enemy strength.

Let's examine this one more closely. On the one hand, we are engaged (at our own expense) in fighting a war (unauthorized by Congress) against communists in Laos and Vietnam — on the other, we have given massive support to the communist dictatorship of Indonesian Sukarno to the South. In view of the glaring inconsistency, most of us would like to have the answer — if answer there be. Looking further, therefore, we find two primary conditions:—

 The northern communists represent the power of Red China reaching for the resources of South-East Asia — a power of force and violence, of gun-fire, arrests, imprisonment, executions, torture, slavery as an instrument of national policy, and unapproved aggression. The Indonesian government is stabilized, intrenched, fortified by American aid: apparently acceptable, representing approved aggression.

May I suggest that here we seem to have an example of something which is coming more and more to public attention, and which is emphasized by the findings of Senator Thurmond through hearings of the Stennis sub-committee of the Senate investigating the "muzzling" of military men - namely, that our government is not opposed to communism itself, but is opposed to (unapproved) 'aggressive totalitarianism." Perhaps it would be more realistic to express this thing affirmatively. If the government is not against communism, it is certainly in favor of communism (as shown by the record of history) - there is no middle ground. Such being the case, it must be called pro-communist. The implications are quite beyond the scope of this discussion, but clearly demand Congressional action. Some people are likely to ask the question under these circumstances - "Is the United States government run by communists?" — to which I will give you the precise answer of Stalin to a similar question concerning an individual. "What difference does it make as long as he is doing the work of the party?"

- 3. Indonesia, just mentioned, is prostrate under the dictatorship of Sukarno, an implacable, vicious, outspoken enemy to whom we have given \$700 million in foreign aid. The people of Indonesia have been helpless against the impact of that aid anti-communist groups never had a chance. Soviet agents have been employed to run the government (using Soviet methods), and preparations have been studiously carried out, with Russian-supplied military equipment; Russian-supplied naval vessels; and, more recently, 10 armored MTB's with Soviet crews (the present of Red Navy Chief Gorshkov), all for the purpose of a war on Dutch New Guinea striking at Australia and the entire system of the South-East Asia Treaty Organization.
- 4. India, a socialist state, is another country which, in a crisis, must be considered lost to the free world. It has been the recipient of \$2,800,000,000 in American foreign aid. Nehru holds the key to India. Behind a front of pacifism and neutralism (exploded recently by the invasion of Goa) this man, on a record over many years, is, in plain reality, a Communist. His career began from association with Communists; he has always praised and voted for Communists, and he has always promoted Communist objectives.
- 5. The shadow of Cuba is cast over South America, and is reflected in events on January 31, 1962 at the Inter-American Foreign Ministers Conference in Uruguay (which has been called another

"bay of pigs" for the United States). The six "soft" countries, representing nearly three-fourths of all the people in Latin America, declined to vote on a resolution to banish communist Cuba from the inter-American system. The picture is dark when viewed in association with earlier events such as —

- The overthrow of the Trujillo government with the connivance of and enforced by the Kennedy administration.
- 2. The setting up of a Communist dictatorship in British Guiana.
- 3. Support of Romulo Betancourt one of the top communists in Latin America.
- 4. Announcement of a \$20 billion spending program in South America "to win the peace" (i.e. to establish socialism).

6. The interest of Moscow in Africa is vital and permanent — for Global Conquest cannot be achieved without control in this area. Africa has also been called the raw materials base for industrial Europe. Red agents are scattered over the continent — diplomats, technicians, propagandists, experts in subversion, disorder and revolution. Leipzig, in East Germany, has been reported as head-quarters site for coordination of activities, working through field personnel. Several months ago an overall plan was revealed which gave control of northern territories to Nkrumah of Ghana, central territories to Adoula; and Angola, Mozambique, and the East Coast to a savage Angolan Communist called Roberto. Successful Portuguese defense seems to have upset the time table—which accounts for a recent urgent shift in emphasis to Kenya in order to promote unification there and prevent the formation of autonomous states within the structure of an East African Federation.

Pan-Africanism, Nationalism, independent pro-western or anticommunist governments are intolerable to the Reds.

Katanga could not be allowed to remain free. The irony of it is that Russia, using a kidnapped United Nations as an instrument in the cold war, should have accomplished her purpose by the use of American money, American equipment, and American personnel for the destruction of freedom and the promotion of this conquest, which is a vital link in the movement of encirclement intended eventually to bring about the economic strangulation of the United States.

A foreign observer has made the following remarks:—

1. We are shocked by the catastrophic U. N., American, and British action in Katanga.

- 2. Are we mad?
- 3. What is behind all this?
- 4. Unless public opinion is aroused, it will end with similar action in the Rhodesias, the Portuguese areas, and South Africa.
- 5. If successful, Africa will be lost.
- 6. And, if Africa is lost, the West cannot survive. It is the key strategic continent.

On the home front, the entire operation has been called "our greatest blunder."

All this confusion and error of judgment is uncalled for in the lateness of the present hour, but it is a matter of viewpoint. A geopolitical view is necessary to understand the world revolution — and with such a view the pieces show consistency and fit perfectly to form the prospect of world rule under a Communist peace.

Now we would be remiss from any failure to examine conditions at home, confronting us face to face — the more critical because of the remarkable demonstration of American power in promoting the universal upheaval already so clearly demonstrated which (so far as the enemy is concerned) is intended to reverse itself against us as "the single ultimate world enemy whose system of alliances is to be penetrated and destroyed."

It is, of course, useless to attempt any substantial review of acts of surrender by the present administration — being too numerous. They are, however, a demonstration of confidence among the revolutionary operatives controlling our government that concealment may be considerably discarded in a rapid program to "wrap the thing up." A few examples can be mentioned, and should be enough.

1. Senator Thurmond has described propaganda as the most powerful weapon of the cold war. The Soviets recognize it as one of their most effective weapons — conditioning the public mind to accept their ideas and objectives. Every year they expend tremendous efforts in this field. Until March 17, 1961, there was some restraint exercised on Communist propaganda from abroad flowing into this country. However, it was withdrawn on that date by the President's ruling which gave free and unrestricted entry. He said that the former inspection program "interfered with efforts to improve East-West relations." From that time, thousands of tons of the material have been

flowing into this country. In one week 17-1/2 tons cleared the San Francisco post office. Every Russian, Polish, and Czech ship brings in its quota. As of this writing, we distribute free whatever they ship in — and this free delivery is a large part of the answer to the postal deficit and the present intention of a new postal increase — 5 cents for first class mail, and 8 cents for air mail!

- 2. In the latter part of 1961, press releases confirmed the removal of travel restrictions on Communist newspapermen and civilians entering the country via the U. N. It is known that these people are agents of the secret police, or trained spies. There is no fingerprinting!
- From an intelligence report fall of 1961.
 Thousands of Castro Communist Cubans are pouring into the United States. Their numbers are expected to exceed the present membership of the Communist party.
- 4. The suspension of General Walker (reportedly by order of the President) and subsequent muzzling of military personnel marked an all-out drive (which became world-wide) to eliminate anti-communists. The campaign of villification and smear against The John Birch Society; the attack by President Kennedy against rightest "extremists" (so-called); his bold and callous renunciation of victory as an attainable goal in war; his advocacy of negotiation as a national policy for dealing with the Soviets: the incredible Fulbright memorandum demanding changes in an "out-dated Constitution" and the relinquishment of sovereignty; even the civil discord in France, events in the Caribbean, and chaos in war-torn Katanga are considered related phenomena.
- 5. Atty. General Robert Kennedy has failed to implement the Internal Security Act ordering the Communist party and individual communists to register as foreign agents. Kennedy promised immediate and drastic action, but he seems to be shuffling these cases under the rug. Questions are brushed aside at the Justice Department. (Quoted from HUMAN EVENTS, 410 First St., S. E., Washington 3, D. C.).
- 6. The Central Intelligence Agency spent \$400 million last year. Director McCone discovered that the CIA was underwriting every major socialist conference in Europe and that United States law firms were receiving millions in consultant fees. Re: W. W. Communications February 28, 1962 1420 New York Ave., N. W., Washington, D. C.
- 7. HUMAN EVENTS (According to Public Opinion of Long Is-

- land City, N. Y.) recently reported it is said in Paris that Kennedy told the French President he did not want to make an issue of Berlin, but that American people did, and he feared painful repercussions if he failed to do so.
- 8. The President was very unhappy over defeat of the bill to create a Department of Urban Affairs and Housing, with Cabinet authority and coercive power equal to that of the Dept. of Agriculture which controls the farmers. This bill was intended to control Americans who reside in communities by control over the individual's private property looking to ultimate control over each citizen's every activity, social, economic, cultural, mental, spiritual, even genetic.

See "Closer-Up" February 2, 1962. P. O. Box 2223, Palm Beach, Fla.

Every American has good reason to be disturbed, and encouragement can be derived from the fact that people everywhere are becoming aroused to discover what can be done. One of our most distinguished Presidents gave us an answer - "do the best you can with what you've got" - which is fundamental and good as far as it goes, as it suggests that we should be informed, alert, and determined to do something. By the use of truth, I think many who have been confused will turn to the side of their country and freedom. Correctness of viewpoint, as we have attempted to show in this address, may help many to take bearings in self-defense. Closer attention to legislation at all levels of government should promote public action in opposition to the socialist take-over, and in this connection your special attention is directed to what Dan Smoot (noted analyst and commentator) has said will be a major political issue of 1962 - namely, American economic surrender by submission to an international authority, or regional world government, and destruction of the United States as a sovereign nation. One of the prime objectives of the proponents of a single world system, backed by a military (police) force with which to tyrannize the nations, has been the Connally Amendment whereby the United States retains its sovereignty by maintaining jurisdiction over its domestic affairs, as may be determined by the United States. The proposed system of a regional world government would eliminate this vital amendment.

For a more complete discussion of this matter, see Supplement "B" attached hereto.

We must pay a lot more attention to Congress — our first line of defense. I have the utmost admiration and respect for those public servants and patriots (members of Congress) who have struggled and

fought and sacrificed (and perhaps died) in defense of our country and its Constitution, for the limitation of government and for freedom — who have resisted the crimes committed against the American people and against humanity in the name of Socialism (although that term has been concealed by all kinds of devices and specious pretenses) — but (on the record) the Congress has too often defaulted in its responsibilities to the people. It's time for the voters of this country to get tough and demand performance in accordance with the legally required oath of office — in the interest of national survival.

(A good source of information on the voting records of members of Congress is the Conservative Society of America, P. O. Box 4223, New Orleans 18, La.).

The foregoing suggestions are not enough. Something more is necessary - namely, a basic issue on which to focus our consistent efforts. Fortunately, we've got such an issue. It is sound, it has universal appeal, it is a prerequisite to survival — no half-way measure will do. This is the Proposed 24th Amendment (so-called) (also known as "The Liberty Amendment.") which will eliminate the personal income tax. Without money (obtained from the taxpayers), the government cannot carry out its nefarious purposes, promote its socialist objectives, or accomplish the tragedy of global rule. It is aware of this, as was emphasized recently when President Kennedy, attacking "super-patriots" and "right-wing extremists", used sarcasm as a device for discreditation. He said "they even want to eliminate the personal income tax." But this remark does not constitute evidence, argument, or rebuttal. The fact is, he doesn't have a good reason why the personal income tax should not be abolished. I will summarize this subject briefly by quoting former Utah Governor J. Bracken Lee, and an excerpt from a recent letter of the National Committee For Economic Freedom at 6413 Franklin Avenue, Los Angeles 28, Calif. Copy of the Amendment itself is attached hereto as Supplement A.

"People of this nation went a long way toward destroying the Constitution when we permitted the government to talk people into destroying our greatest safeguard against too much power in government—this was the adoption of the Sixteenth Amendment, or the personal income tax. It put power in the hands of the government to place an unlimited tax upon the people. By the very nature of our Constitution and Bill of Rights this power should never have been granted, because it became the one tool that carried with it the means whereby our guarantees of freedom and equal justice under the law were to be completely nullified. I cannot conceive how any intelligent person can defend the Constitution of the United States and at the same time de-

fend the income tax which nullifies and eventually destroys all semblance of these Constitutional guarantees. Until those citizens who believe in the free enterprise system awaken to the dangers of this evil and demand its repeal, I see no hope to preserve the rights that we are entitled to as free men."

J. Bracken Lee THE AMERICAN STATESMAN Salt Lake City, Utah November 15, 1961.

"The Amendment will not alter the original Constitution in any way, but will restore its full force and effect. At this time, half of the total revenue of the federal government is used to pay for the losses and hidden costs of more than 700 federal agencies which operate in direct competition with private enterprise. Returning these lands and facilities to the American people, from whom they were taken, will thus eliminate the need for the income tax, which now produces half of the total revenue of the government (the balance being derived from corporate taxes, excise taxes, imposts, duties, and other miscellaneous taxes). Therefore, the 'Liberty Amendment' will increase our take-home pay about 20% by simply restoring to the American people their total pay. It will eliminate the vast losses of the federal corporate activities and return government to its function of governing and regulating."

Contact The National Committee For Economic Freedom, 6413 Franklin Avenue, Los Angeles 28, California.

or

Contact your State Chairman — Roger Williamson 50 North St. Guilford, Connecticut.

In conclusion, I must again emphasize the urgency of this hour which calls for the best from all of us. PUBLIC OPINION (Box 44, Long Island City 4, L. I., N. Y.) offers the following remarks — quoting from Louis Zoul — author of "Thugs and Communists."

"Just as communists realize that (now) — is their best chance to subvert the world, collaboration — with them must be checked, for, unless a halt is made soon 'The Entire World Will Soon Be Communized' will be a fact.

"Should communism enslave the world, it will become like a stagnant pool with the most depraved scum of society floating on top, masquerading as cream; and with nothing but horror, misery, and wretchedness throughout. But, if it happens, it will be a just world, for it will be the kind of a world that the descendents of traitors, and those so lacking in courage and intelligence as to permit themselves to be dominated by the likes of these, well deserve."

SUPPLEMENTS

- A Liberty Amendment. Reference: National Committee For Economic Freedom, 6413 Franklin Avenue, Los Angeles 28, Calif.
- B The Nato, Atlantic Union, Common Market, OECD complex for regional government.
- C Gradualism a lesson in conquest by peaceful or parliamentary means.
- D The American State Department and Foreign Policy.
- E F. D. R. Told Cardinal Spellman of World Division.

SUPPLEMENT "A"

THE LIBERTY AMENDMENT

"These are the terms of the proposed LIBERTY AMENDMENT pending in Congress as H. J. Res. 23 and supported by identical resolutions in the States of Wyoming, Texas, Nevada, Louisiana and Georgia: (and now receiving consideration in other states)

- "Sec. 1. The Government of the United States shall not engage in any business, professional, commercial, financial or industrial enterprise except as specified in the Constitution.
- "Sec. 2. The constitution or laws of any State, or the laws of the United States shall not be subject to the terms of any foreign or domestic agreement which would abrogate this amendment.
- "Sec. 3. The activities of the United States Government which violate the intent and purposes of this amendment shall, within a period of three years from the date of the ratification of this amendment, be liquidated and the properties and facilities affected shall be sold.
- "Sec. 4. Three years after the ratification of this amendment the

sixteenth article of amendments to the Constitution of the United States shall stand repealed and thereafter Congress shall not levy taxes on personal incomes, estates, and/or gifts".

SUPPLEMENT "B"

THE NATO, ATLANTIC UNION, COMMON MARKET, OECD COMPLEX FOR REGIONAL GOVERNMENT.

Nothing is overlooked as a device to incorporate us in a world government structure, if not in one way or form then in some other. This discussion will be brief - but is called for as a warning of what lies ahead - and concerns itself with the group of nations affected by the institutions designated, for example, by the titles of Nato, the European Common Market, the OECD (Organization For Economic Cooperation and Development), and Atlantic Union. Dan Smoot, noted analyst and commentator, former Harvard instructor, F. B. I. official, and publisher of the DAN SMOOT RE-PORT, has rendered a public service in publishing the essential facts concerning a pincers movement to destroy America - by making the American people so subject to foreign control, and so dependent on foreign economic and political decisions, that Americans will surrender their independence and accept membershp in a world socialist dictatorship called World Government. This movement is divided into two parts — political and economic — supplemented more recently by a third prong, or thrust, in the military field, as described in his bulletin of December 11, 1961 titled "Strategic Surrender". He explains the President's steps to align his forces to place emphasis on a program potentially more harmful to the nation than any conceived before and makes specific reference to George W. Ball ("Number Two" man in the State Department) as a chief architect of the President's program to internationalize American trade and commerce, as a prelude to amalgamating our economy with that of other nations. Ball, incidentally, is widely known also for his efforts to remove all barriers to the shipment of strategic materials to the Soviet Union. In short, the three aspects of this operation are described as follows:-

 The political thrust generates propaganda to the effect that, in a shrunken world, war threatens to annihilate mankind and that the only way to prohibit it is to subject all nations to an overriding international authority which will make all nations behave.

- 2. In the military field, the technique is to entangle American defense with world wide defense, until the United States literally cannot defend herself without the help and cooperation of allies. When we reach the point of such dependency, then the public can be led to believe we must surrender our armed forces to international control. Washington officialdom is promoting schemes for such military surrender.
- 3. In the economic field, the technique is to make America dependent on foreign sources of supply for critical materials, and so subject to foreign political-economic decisions that we will be forced to surrender control over our trade and commerce to an international authority which can regulate the trade of the world.

The Union of Atlantic Nations (so-called) contemplates a political organization which makes out of it a baby or regional world government. I have read the proposed plan of organization and must confess, in all fairness, its image seems to carry the likeness of the political organization of the Soviet Union. It has long been recognized that, with the world divided into such "regional" groups, it will be practical, and relatively easy, to achieve eventually a coalescence resulting in a single global control, fulfilling the Leninist dream.

Mr. Smoot says that the program of American economic surrender will be a major political issue of 1962. Write to —

> The Dan Smoot Report, Inc. P. O. Box 9538, Lakewood Station Dallas 14, Texas.

Ask for the report "Strategic Surrender" — December 11, 1921 — also for the series titled "Economic Surrender", beginning January 1, 1962.

"SUPPLEMENT "C"

GRADUALISM

A LESSON IN CONQUEST BY PEACEFUL OR PARLIAMENTARY MEANS.

Gradualism is an instrument of Communist conquest. Students recognize that the subject has two approaches. One of them follows the example of Lenin, employing strikes, trickery, murder,

armed force - based upon the concept that the end justifies the means. The other involves a process of gradual change within a system of peaceful co-existence - using the forces of propaganda, infiltration, education and persuasion in order to obtain submission - i.e., acceptance of the inevitability of socialism, using concomitantly the device of progressive legislation for the government to take over gradually all properties and means of production. Every possible advantage is taken of apathy, innocence, lack of understanding, the natural instinct in a long protected society to avoid controversy, antagonism, any effort at self-defense. The American people are ripe plums for such an attack. Relatively few realize that this is strictly in accord with a carefully prepared plan of internal conquest. You are referred to a book by Jan Kozak, a Czech — published by The Long House, Inc., New Canaan, Conn. — which is an exact translation of the plan as originally submitted by this member of the Secretariat of the Communist Party of Czechoslovakia to his comrades in Prague. It was never intended to reach Western hands. "Here is the technique by which a democratic, representative government is metamorphosed into a socialist state by legal, democratic means. The steps are gradual, unsensational, and obscure."

It is a document of extreme importance. It shows how "the individual, who one year is free and independent, is next year a little more restricted. Then a little more, and a little more. Suddenly he is a cog, being moved inexorably by the monolithic machinery of the state. And not a shot fired".

Get a copy of the book "And Not a Shot is Fired".

Now consider these remarks in the light of a release by the Associated Press (week of February 26, 1962).

"A Columbia University professor of sociology Sunday proposed a middle road to world peace, a gradualist way past the emotional stumbling blocks on the far right and the far left.

"Dr. Amitai Etzioni said it is a movement he has been organizing among the nation's college faculties.

"It was announced that 20 of his Columbia colleagues had joined in a council for the 'gradualist way to peace.'

"Within the last month, Etzioni has organized 'gradualist' councils at the University of California, Stanford, UCLA, the University of Wisconsin, Ohio State University, the University of Chicago and Harvard".

"He chose American professors for a starting point, Etzioni said, in order to insure 'hard thinking' rather than the 'confused emotionalism'

which often characterizes work in this area. To win congressional support, Etzioni hopes to have the movement accepted by leaders of existing organizations with effective lobbies in Washington".

If this is not an example of communist subversion at work precisely according to the approved pattern as revealed by Mr. Kozak, I hope never to see one. Inasmuch as my readers are intelligent Americans, it should be unnecessary for me to make any comments about Professor Etzioni himself — or the necessity of taking this movement seriously and developing some kind of official counterattack.

SUPPLEMENT "D"

THE AMERICAN STATE DEPARTMENT AND FOREIGN POLICY.

Dean Rusk has made a statement which is, in effect, a clear declaration of policy, namely that "with the world in revolution" he thinks "the United States should lead the changes, not resist them." This is his endorsement of official support for a program of world revolution. Events reveal the implementation of that endorsement — in collaboration with the communists for the purpose of a World Socialist Dictatorship. There appears to have been an almost universal failure to grasp the significance of this situation — with consequent total lack of response from what is supposed to be our principal agency of defense — i.e., the Congress of the United States.

SUPPLEMENT "E"

"Los Angeles Herald-Examiner Feb. 27 - 1962

"Told Spellman of World Division

"FDR SAID RUSS TO DOMINATE EUROPE

"NEW YORK, Feb. 27 (AP)—President Franklin D. Roosevelt is quoted in a biography of Francis Cardinal Spellman as saying in 1943 that Russia would dominate Europe after World War II—and that he hoped Europe would be able to adjust to the situation.

"Roosevelt also is quoted as telling the cardinal in a White House meeting that he planned to make an agreement by which China would get the Far East, the United States the Pacific, and Britain and Russia would divide Europe and Africa.

"The Biography, published by Doubleday and Co., Inc., as the "Cardinal Spellman Story," was written by the Rev. Robert I. Gannon, former president of Fordham University and new superior of the Jesuit Mission residence in New York City. Excerpts appear in this week's issue of a national magazine.

"KEPT MEMO

"The archbishop's meeting with Roosevelt, Father Gannon wrote, was in September of 1943 after Cardinal Spellman had completed a six-month tour of the fighting fronts.

"The biography says Cardinal Spellman kept a memorandum of the meeting, in which he quoted Roosevelt as assuming that Russia would 'predominate in Europe . . . as Britain has predominantly colonial interests.'

"The memorandum is quoted as adding:

"It is natural that the European countries will have to undergo tremendous changes in order to adapt to Russia, but he (FDR) hopes that in 10 or 20 years the European influences would bring the Russians to become less Barbarian.

"Be it as it may, (FDR) added, the United States and Britain cannot fight the Russians. He hopes that out of forced friendship may soon come a real and lasting friendship. The European people will simply have to endure the Russian domination, in the hope that, in 10 or 20 years, they will be able to live well with the Russians.

"The memorandum also quoted Roosevelt as telling Cardinal Spellman that he believed only the big powers should have a vote in a future 'League of Nations.' Roosevelt felt that intervention by the small states had caused the failure of the old league, it said."

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. Box 596 Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com